US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

	413 Shaugh	nnessy No.: 041701				
	12	DATE SIT PETE: 18DE	C 1984			
		Init:				
To: From:	W. Miller Product Manager 16 Registration Division (TS-76) Dr. Lionel A. Richardson, Chenvironmental Review Section Exposure Assessment Branch Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-76)	ief #3				
Attach	ned, please find the EAB review	v Of				
Reg./	File No.: 476-2134					
Chemic	cal: fonofos					
Type I	Product:					
Produc	ct Name:					
Compar	ny Name:					
Submis	ssion Purpose:					
		3)				
ZBB Co	ode:	Action Code: 65	56			
Date I	In: 10/17/84	EAB No.: 5039	EAB No.: 5039			
Date Completed: 18 DEC 1984		TAIS (Level II)	Days			
		<u>(1)</u>	_2			
Deferr	cals To:	•				
	Ecological Effects Branch	•				
	Residue Chemistry Branch					
	Toxicology Branch					



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20460

OFFICE OF PESTICIDES AND TOXIC SUBSTANCES

Response to Stauffer's fonofos Re-entry Labeling Requirement (132-1) (9/17/84 letter) and Registration Standard Re-entry Letter of October 8, 1984.

Thank you for your commitment to submit re-entry draft protocols for Agency review in December, 1984, and to make re-entry data available in December 1985.

As you stated in your October 8, 1984 letter, we also believe that reentry intervals must be based on scientific data, and for that reason we established re-entry (scientific) data requirements for reregistration. However, until you submit the re-entry data, an interim re-entry interval will offer some measure of protection to field workers.

Your October 8, 1984, letter indicated that the proposed 24-hour interim re-entry interval for foliar applications may be too short. The Agency also believes that the 24-hour interim interval (for all foliar applications) could, possibly, be too short. Therefore, a 48-hour interim interval, is required on the label (for all foliar applications) until scientifically acceptable re-entry data are received and evaluated.

Your October 8, 1984 letter proposed that State and local re-entry requirements be followed until scientific re-entry data are available to determine appropriate intervals. How many States or local governments have established (scientifically or non-scientifically) re-entry intervals?

As an interim measure the Agency requires "watering in" on the 2G label for the home lawn use. After the required data are received, we will reassess all of the interim measures and establish safety measures that the data, from acceptable studies, dictate.

John Hund janden

<u>_</u>	RI	EGIL (ATIO	N DIVIS		REVIEW	คั้. <u>อี</u> กคก	v			
	Confidential Bu	siness Information	- Does Not	Contain Natio	nal Security I	Information (E	.C. 12065)	7	~	
1	. CHEMICAL NAME	Frank	Frank :		# 1			10/17/24		
	2. IDENTIFYING NUMBER	3. ACTION C	3. ACTION CODE		4. ACCESSION NUMBER			TO BE COMPLETED BY PM		
	- 7 - 2 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	1710		They are not the			5. RECORD NUMBER			
	4							6. REFERENCE NUMBER 7. DATE RECEIVED (EPA) 8. STATUTORY DUE DATE 9. PRODUCT MANAGER (PM)		
	1311	-								
		er de la companya de								
	-2354									
							10. PM TEAM NUMBER			
14	4. CHECK IF APPLICABLE			<u> </u>		0.16	TOBE	COMPLETED	BY PCB	
	Public Health/Quarantine	C Mil	nor. Use (1.4)	Downson State	ar. 195 ambrida	STATE STATES	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	ENT TO HEL	D/TSS	
	Substitute Chemical	Par	t.of IPM				12. PRIORITY NUMBER			
	Seasonal Concern	☐ Re	Review Requires Less Than 4 Hours				13. PROJECTED RETURN DATE			
15	5. INSTRUCTIONS TO REVIEWER		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	F. INSTRUCTIONS						
	A. HED Total Assessment - 3(c)(5)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	C. 🗌 BFSD D. 🗍 TSS/RD E. 🗎 Other							
	☐ Incremental Risk Assessm 3(c)(7) and/or E.L. Johns memo of May 12, 1977.	OD '								
	B. SPRD (Send Copy of Form to SPRE		we will be a second of the sec							
	Chemical Undergoing Act	ive	was sufficient		The second secon					
c	Chemical Undergoing Act Registration Standards Re	ناحفتها الله المسيد المساد								
6	RELATED ACTIONS				- 1 -		- / - 			
	. ,	•							م.	
17. 3(c)(1)(D) 18. REVIEWS SENT TO							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	Use Any or All Available Information Use Only the Attached Data for Form Available Information on the Technical	□TB □EEB □EF □PL □RCB □EFB □CH □BFSD								
1	To TYPE OF REVIEW		g Circumcur.	NUMBER OF ACTION			en de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la 			
	THE OF REVIEW	Registration	Petition	EUP	SLN	Sec. 18	Inert	MNR, USE	Other	
	TOXICOLOGY		• • •		 			transporture to	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	ECOLOGICAL EFFECTS									
	RESIDUE CHEMISTRY									
	ENVIRONMENTAL DATE			-					بن ا	
	CHEMISTRY									
	EFFICACY									
	PRECAUTIONARY LABELING	the state of the state of	inger of the state		en en en	an a	ور در	La de la compania de la com-	and complete	
ij	ECONOMIC ANALYSIS									
<u>?</u> 0	Label Submitted Confidence 21. Statem	ent of 2	Represe Labels S Accepte Attache	howing	(to be co	turned to RD ompleted by	Cop	ude an Origina ies of This Co Each Branch C iews	mpleted Forn hecked for	

DE GUIGNÉ TECHNICAL CENTER ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES



Stauffer Chemical Company

1200 S. 47th St. / Richmond, CA 94804 / Tel. (415) 231-1000 / TWX (910) 382-8174

October 8, 1984

Mr. William H. Miller
Product Manager (16)
Insecticide-Rodenticide Branch
Registration Division (TS-767)
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Subject: Fonofos Registration Standard

Re-Entry Discussion of October 3, 1984

Dear Mr. Miller:

4 1

Thank you once again for the opportunity to discuss our concerns regarding the Agency's requirement to incorporate a 24-hour re-entry interval for all uses of all products containing fonofos.

Stauffer recognizes that some uses of pesticide products will require field reentry instructions. In the case of DYFONATE products, there are two issues to address for general agricultural uses:

- 1. Pre-plant incorporated or at planting incorporated uses
- Post-emergence crop uses

In the case of pre-plant incorporated or at planting incorporated uses of DYFONATE, Stauffer agrees with EPA scientific review that there is little potential for farmworker exposure and a label caution is all that is needed (See Attachment 1). EPA scientists have also pointed out that for other products of similar use, i.e., terbufos, there is little potential for re-entry exposure (see Attachment 2). Stauffer supports these conclusions and we propose that no re-entry requirements are needed for Dyfonate pre-plant incorporated or at planting incorporated uses.

For post-emergence uses on corn we propose adding to the DYFONATE label alternate language directing the user to follow State or local re-entry requirements until data are available to determine an appropriate re-entry interval.

Further to our discussions of October 3, 1984, we believe that the arbitrary imposition of a 24-hour re-entry interval could, under certain use situations, give the user an erroneous indication that re-entry is safe. As an example, fonofos products are not currently recommended by states or local agencies for use on corn prior to detasseling for seed corn production, because a re-entry interval has not been established. With a 24-hour re-entry statement on the label a user may be able to treat and then 24 hours later send in workers to detassel the corn. This may not be a safe practice. It is our understanding that the 24-hour re-entry interval was an EPA policy decision which was not based on scientific evidence.



Mr. William H. Mille October 8, 1984 Page 2

Stauffer agrees that further studies should be conducted to determine whether a re-entry interval is required for fonofos's various uses and formulations. We have notified the Agency of this.

As agreed, we will add the 24-hour re-entry statement to the Dyfonate 5-G and Dyfonate 2-G labels in compliance with the requirements of the Standard. Draft labeling will be submitted by October 31, 1984. These products are not currently in trade channels. Prior to the introduction of these products into the market studies will also be conducted to establish an appropriate re-entry interval for their use.

We are currently preparing protocols and scheduling studies to address these re-entry issues. We expect to provide the Agency with draft protocols for review and approval by December 31, 1984 and to provide actual scientific data no later than December 31, 1985.

If you have further questions regarding this matter, please call me at (415) 231-1177.

.

Sincerely,

STAUFFER CHEMICAL COMPANY

Ralph L. Riggs

Senior Regulatory Affairs Supervisor

Pesticide Registrations

MSO/rrc

Attachments

cc: Herbert S. Harrison